

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,557	01/16/2002	Daniel Roy Schlee	SCHLEE0201	9638
24507 MICHAEL BI	7590 04/02/200 AINE BROOKS, P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 163	0		NGUYEN, TRI V	
SIMI VALLEY	Y, CA 93062-1630		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/051,557	SCHLEE, DANIEL ROY		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	TRI V. NGUYEN	1796		
	114 1.11001214	1750		

	TRI V. NGUYEN	1796						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
1.  \[ \text{\text{The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time								
periods:    The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exuder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extel Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		ΓE below);						
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1								
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•						
7. \( \subseteq \text{ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)} how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>48-55.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Eric W. Stamber/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3622								

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to include the" manufacturer's coupon" instead of "promotional material" will result in the scope of the claims differing from the instant claims thus requiring a new search..

Continuation of 13. Other: of the same rejections based on the prior art references as stated in the final rejection dated 01/28/08. In particular, the claimed manufacterer's cuppon is known in the art and the substitution of different types of couppons such as a manufacturer's coupon into the method of Postrel is well within the purview of a skilled artisan in the marketing art. Regarding the affidavit, it is noted that the feature of a manufacturer's cuppon is known in the art (see Lyyama et al.), Intry!